ATT 2100
1 James
FLORIDA
The second s

**SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)   RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0112580 DATE: 05/18/2007 ARRIVE: 2:00pm DEPART: 3:00pm FACILITY NAME: NEW RIVER MARINA FACILITY LOCATION: 3001STATE ROAD 84 FORT LAUDERDALE 33312					
<b>RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:</b> RICK DE FREITAS <b>PHONE:</b> (954)584-2500					
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:					
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/9/2006 (effective date) / 11/9/2011 (end date)					
(effective date) (end date)   PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)   □ IN COMPLIANCE INOR Non-COMPLIANCE   SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE   PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) .   1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c).4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No   2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings used?					
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))   1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No   2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No					

## PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. - (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
	a) maintaining array agating againment to ansure affective application with a minimum of avarantay?

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	∐Yes ∐ No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Yes No
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes No

U)	considering the use of low-voc	coatings (e.g., waterbolite, unra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	165
d)	implementing inventory control	practices to prevent spillage?	⊠Yes

e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

1 0 0	0	1		U	1	2		
1. spraying light	colored	coatings befor	e dark colored	coatings to reduce	the	number of cleaning		
cycles?							Yes	No
2) recycling clear							Yes	No
3) using water ba	sed clea	aners?					Yes	No

3) using water based cleaners?	Yes	

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	□Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP of		
local program office?		No

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

5/18/2007

No

Date of Inspection

5/18/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 5/18/2007, AQD staff observed operations at New River Marina. This faciility is a marina that conducts bottom-painting and maintenance on small to larger yachts. Mr. Rick Defrietas accompanied staff on-site. The overall houskeeping was better than previous years and AQD staff informed Mr. Defreitas of this.

Mr. Defreitas let AQD staff know that he would be submitting his VOC records to the department. Based, on observations at the yard the usages should be lower.